

Finite $\mathcal{W}_3$ Transformations in a Multi-time Approach

J. GOMIS[♠], J. HERRERO[♠], K. KAMIMURA[♣] AND J. ROCA[◇]

[♠]*Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria*

Universitat de Barcelona and

Institut de Física d'Altes Energies

Diagonal, 647

E-08028 BARCELONA

[♣]*Department of Physics, Toho University*

Funabashi

274 JAPAN

[◇]*Department of Physics, Queen Mary and Westfield College*

Mile End Road, London E1 4NS

ENGLAND

e-mails: GOMIS@EBUBECM1, HERRERO@EBUBECM1,
KAMIMURA@JPNYITP, J.ROCA@QMW.AC.UK

Abstract

Classical $\mathcal{W}_3$ transformations are discussed as restricted diffeomorphism transformations ($\mathcal{W}$ -Diff) in two-dimensional space. We formulate them by using Riemannian geometry as a basic ingredient. The extended $\mathcal{W}_3$ generators are given as particular combinations of Christoffel symbols. The defining equations of $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff are shown to depend on these generators explicitly. We also consider the issues of finite transformations, global $SL(3)$ transformations and $\mathcal{W}$ -Schwarzians.

August 1994
UB-ECM-PF 94/20
TOHO-FP-9448
QMW-PH-94-25

1 Introduction

Non-linear extensions of the Virasoro algebra are known as $\mathcal{W}$ -algebras [1]. The classical counterparts of these algebras are obtained through Drinfel'd-Sokolov (DS) Hamiltonian reduction for Kac-Moody current algebras [2] and the zero-curvature approaches [3]. Classical $\mathcal{W}$ -algebras are also related to the theory of integrable systems through the Gel'fand-Dickey brackets [4]. For example, classical $\mathcal{W}_N$ transformations are the symmetries of the equation

$$LX \equiv \partial^N X + \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} U_{N-j} \partial^j X = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where X and U_j fields are regarded as functions of a single variable t [5]. The reparametrizations of t induce diffeomorphism transformations (Diff) on X and U_j , whereas $\mathcal{W}$ -transformations cannot be understood as reparametrizations in one-dimensional base space. In order to understand all $\mathcal{W}$ -transformations as diffeomorphisms it is natural to extend the base space to a multi-dimensional one ($\mathcal{W}$ -space) [6].

In $\mathcal{W}$ -space we will consider multi-time extension equations associated with (1.1) given by:

$$\begin{aligned} LX &= 0, \\ \partial_{t_k} X &= L_+^{k/N} X, \quad k = 2, \dots, N-1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where X and U_j depend now on $N-1$ time parameters: $t^1, \dots, t^{N-1}$ and $L_+^{k/N}$ is the differential part of the pseudo-differential operator $L^{k/N}$ [4]. Therefore X is a truncation of the Baker-Akhiezer function associated to the generalized hierarchies of partial differential equations generated by L . In this framework the $\mathcal{W}_N$ transformations are those leaving the whole set of multi-time equations (1.2) invariant. They are induced by some restricted class of Diff in $\mathcal{W}$ -space which we refer to as $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff.

We will also show how Riemannian geometry is useful for the formulation of the multi-time equations. We derive them from a set of covariant equations by imposing suitable gauge-fixing conditions on the Christoffel symbols. In particular for $N=3$ we will explicitly see how $\mathcal{W}_3$ generators appear as some combinations of the Christoffel symbols and how they transform under finite $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff. The $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff are defined by a set of differential equations involving the extended $\mathcal{W}$ -generators. $\mathcal{W}$ -space is in some sense a bosonic version of the superspace used in the covariant description of superconformal theories [7]. However the dynamical fields are not present in the defining relations of superconformal transformations in superspace.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In sect. 2 we introduce a set of covariant equations used to construct the multi-time $\mathcal{W}_N$ equations in $\mathcal{W}$ -space. In sect. 3 we consider the $N=3$ case explicitly. Finite $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff and the finite $\mathcal{W}$ -transformations of

the extended generators are obtained in sect.4. Conclusions and discussions are given in the last section.

2 Covariant Formalism for Multi-time Equations

Let us consider a system described by a set of fundamental covariant equations [8],

$$\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta X^A(t^1, t^2, \dots, t^{N-1}) = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta = t^1, \dots, t^{N-1}. \quad (2.1)$$

We assume (2.1) to have N independent solutions labeled by the index $A = 1, \dots, N$. Each X^A is a scalar density of weight $h = 1/N$ under general coordinate transformations in $N - 1$ dimensional space. The covariant derivative is defined by

$$\nabla_\rho X = \partial_\rho X + h \Gamma_{\sigma\rho}^\sigma X = (\partial_\rho + h \Gamma_\rho) X, \quad (2.2)$$

and the second derivative is

$$\nabla_\nu \nabla_\rho X = \partial_\nu \nabla_\rho X - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\sigma \nabla_\sigma X + h \Gamma_{\sigma\nu}^\sigma \nabla_\rho X = \{\partial_\nu - \mathbf{A}_\nu\}_\rho^\sigma \nabla_\sigma X, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$(\mathbf{A}_\nu)_\rho^\sigma = (\mathbf{\Gamma}_\nu - h \Gamma_\nu)_\rho^\sigma, \quad (\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha)_\beta^\gamma = \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma, \quad \Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\beta = \text{tr}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha). \quad (2.4)$$

The Christoffel symbol $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha$ is regarded as $gl(N - 1)$ valued gauge field and Γ_α as its trace.

We can show that equation (2.1) is only consistent when the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor vanishes. Let us write the integrability condition of (2.1),

$$\nabla_{[\mu} \nabla_{\nu]} \nabla_\rho X = -(\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu})_\rho^\lambda \nabla_\lambda X - \Gamma_{[\nu\mu]}^\sigma \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\rho X = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Here $\mathbf{F}$ is the field strength for $\mathbf{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} &\equiv \partial_\alpha \mathbf{A}_\beta - \partial_\beta \mathbf{A}_\alpha - [\mathbf{A}_\alpha, \mathbf{A}_\beta] = \\ &= (\partial_\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta - \partial_\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha - [\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha, \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta]) - h \text{tr}(\partial_\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta - \partial_\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha - [\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha, \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta]). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The assumption of N independent solutions for X^A requires zero field strength, $\mathbf{F} = 0$. By taking the trace of (2.6), the vanishing of $\mathbf{F}$ implies zero Riemann-Christoffel curvature,

$$R_{\gamma\alpha\beta}^\delta = \{\partial_\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta - \partial_\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha - [\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha, \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta]\}_\gamma^\delta = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Equation (2.1) also requires symmetric Christoffel connections. In fact from (2.3),

$$0 = [\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta] X = h(\partial_\alpha \Gamma_\beta - \partial_\beta \Gamma_\alpha) X - \Gamma_{[\beta\alpha]}^\gamma \nabla_\gamma X. \quad (2.8)$$

The first term in the r.h.s. is just the trace of (2.7) and vanishes for the solutions. Thus the Christoffel symbols are symmetric and there is no torsion,

$$\Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma. \quad (2.9)$$

Since the Riemann-Christoffel tensor is zero there exists a set of flat coordinates k^a 's ($a = 1, \dots, N-1$) such that the Christoffel symbols vanish. Equation (2.1) has trivial solutions there. For a generic system of coordinates we can write the Christoffel symbols as

$$\Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho = (\mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu)^\rho_\nu = (\partial_\mu \mathbf{J})_\nu^a (\mathbf{J}^{-1})_a^\rho, \quad \mathbf{J} \in GL(N-1). \quad (2.10)$$

where $(\mathbf{J})_\nu^a = \partial_\nu k^a(t^\alpha)$. Due to the fact that X is a scalar density of weight h the solution in generic coordinates is

$$X(t^\alpha) = |\mathbf{J}|^{-h} (C_a k^a(t^\alpha) + C_N). \quad (2.11)$$

where C_a and C_N are integration constants.

The relation between the covariant system of equations (2.1) and the multi-time equations for $\mathcal{W}_N$ (1.2) is established through a suitable set of gauge conditions on the Christoffel symbols. The form of gauge-fixing conditions for general $\mathcal{W}_N$ have been discussed in [8] and worked out for $N = 3$ and 4 explicitly. In the following sections we restrict ourselves to the $\mathcal{W}_3$ case and make the analysis of $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff in detail.

3 Multi-time $\mathcal{W}_3$ equations

We consider two-dimensional space with the local coordinates $t \equiv t^1$ and $z \equiv t^2$. Let us impose the following gauge-fixing conditions [8]:

$$\Gamma_{tt}^z = 1, \quad \Gamma_{tt}^t = 2\Gamma_{tz}^z. \quad (3.1)$$

Zero-curvature condition (2.7) imposed on Riemann-Christoffel curvature gives 4 equations. It is used to express Γ_{tz}^t and Γ_{zz}^t in terms of independent Christoffel symbols, Γ_{tz}^z and Γ_{zz}^z ,

$$\Gamma_{tz}^t = \Gamma_{tz}^z{}^2 + \Gamma_{zz}^z - \partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{zz}^t = \Gamma_{tz}^z{}^3 + \Gamma_{tz}^z \Gamma_{zz}^z - \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_{tz}^z \partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z - \frac{1}{3} \partial_t \Gamma_{zz}^z - \frac{1}{3} \partial_t^2 \Gamma_{tz}^z. \quad (3.3)$$

It also gives z -derivative of Γ_{tz}^z and Γ_{zz}^z as functions of Γ_{tz}^z and Γ_{zz}^z and their t derivatives:

$$\partial_z \Gamma_{tz}^z = \frac{1}{3} \partial_t (\Gamma_{tz}^t + \Gamma_{zz}^z) = \frac{1}{3} (2 \Gamma_{tz}^z \partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z + 2 \partial_t \Gamma_{zz}^z - \partial_t^2 \Gamma_{tz}^z), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z \Gamma_{zz}^z &= \frac{1}{3} (10 \Gamma_{tz}^z{}^2 \partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z + 6 \Gamma_{zz}^z \partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z - 2 (\partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z)^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \Gamma_{tz}^z \partial_t \Gamma_{zz}^z + 2 \Gamma_{tz}^z \partial_t^2 \Gamma_{tz}^z + \partial_t^2 \Gamma_{zz}^z - 2 \partial_t^3 \Gamma_{tz}^z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In this gauge the covariant equations (2.1) are expressed as

$$\partial_t^3 X(t, z) + T(t, z) \partial_t X(t, z) + \left(W_3(t, z) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t T(t, z) \right) X(t, z) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\partial_z X(t, z) = \partial_t^2 X(t, z) + \frac{2}{3} T(t, z) X(t, z). \quad (3.7)$$

They are the multi-time $\mathcal{W}_3$ equations in which T and W_3 are defined as functions of Christoffel symbols:

$$T(t, z) = -2 \Gamma_{tz}^z{}^2 - \Gamma_{zz}^z + 2 \partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z = \Gamma_{zz}^z - 2 \Gamma_{tz}^t, \quad (3.8)$$

$$W_3(t, z) = -\Gamma_{tz}^z{}^3 - \Gamma_{tz}^z \Gamma_{zz}^z + \Gamma_{tz}^z \partial_t \Gamma_{tz}^z + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \Gamma_{zz}^z = -\Gamma_{zz}^t - \frac{1}{6} \partial_t T(t, z). \quad (3.9)$$

The z -extension equations (3.4) and (3.5) for Γ_{tz}^z and Γ_{zz}^z are translated to those for T and W_3 ,

$$\partial_z T(t, z) = 2 \partial_t W_3(t, z), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\partial_z W_3(t, z) = -\frac{2}{3} T(t, z) \partial_t T(t, z) - \frac{1}{6} \partial_t^3 T(t, z). \quad (3.11)$$

They are nothing but the integrability conditions of the multi-time $\mathcal{W}_3$ equations (3.6) and (3.7).

In sect. 2 we have determined solutions of (2.1) for X^A and the Christoffel symbols in terms of $N - 1$ arbitrary functions k^a . After imposing the gauge-fixing conditions (3.1) the functions k^a are no longer arbitrary but must satisfy a set of partial differential equations. The first gauge-fixing condition of (3.1), $\Gamma_{tt}^z = 1$, requires

$$|\mathbf{J}| \equiv \partial_t k^1 \partial_z k^2 - \partial_t k^2 \partial_z k^1 = \partial_t k^1 \partial_t^2 k^2 - \partial_t k^2 \partial_t^2 k^1 \equiv K_3. \quad (3.12)$$

Using the second gauge-fixing condition of (3.1), $\Gamma_{tt}^t = 2 \Gamma_{tz}^z$, we have

$$\partial_z k^a = \partial_t^2 k^a + Q \partial_t k^a, \quad Q = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial_t K_3}{K_3}, \quad (a = 1, 2). \quad (3.13)$$

It is worth noticing that the extension equation for k^a (3.13) shows a global $SL(3)$ invariance. It is non-linearly realized as

$$k^a \longrightarrow \frac{k^b \tilde{B}_b^a + \tilde{B}_3^a}{k^d \tilde{B}_d^3 + \tilde{B}_3^3}, \quad a = 1, 2, \quad \tilde{B} \in SL(3)_{\text{global}}. \quad (3.14)$$

We also point out that the extension equation has a trivial set of solution $k^1 = t$, $k^2 = z + \frac{t^2}{2}$ giving zero values for T and W_3 .

The solutions of the multi-time $\mathcal{W}_3$ equations (3.6), (3.7), (3.10) and (3.11) are now expressed in terms of k^a satisfying (3.13). Using (2.11), (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain:

$$X^A(t, z) = \{ k^1 K_3^{-1/3}, k^2 K_3^{-1/3}, K_3^{-1/3} \}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$T(t, z) = \frac{\partial_t^2 K_3}{K_3} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{(\partial_t K_3)^2}{K_3^2} + \frac{1}{K_3} \left(\partial_t^2 k^1 \partial_t^3 k^2 - \partial_t^2 k^2 \partial_t^3 k^1 \right) \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_3(t, z) = & -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial_t^3 K_3}{K_3} + \frac{5}{6} \frac{\partial_t K_3 \partial_t^2 K_3}{K_3^2} + \frac{5}{6} \frac{\partial_t K_3}{K_3^2} \left(\partial_t^2 k^1 \partial_t^3 k^2 - \partial_t^2 k^2 \partial_t^3 k^1 \right) \\ & - \frac{20}{27} \frac{(\partial_t K_3)^3}{K_3^3} + \frac{1}{2 K_3} \left(\partial_t^2 k^2 \partial_t^4 k^1 - \partial_t^2 k^1 \partial_t^4 k^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

These formulas coincide for $z = 0$ with the well-known expressions of the $\mathcal{W}_3$ generators as obtained using, for example, the Wronskian method [9]. If we use the extension equations for k^a (3.13) we can obtain an alternative expression for $T(t, z)$ and $W(t, z)$ in terms of K_3 in (3.12) only:

$$\begin{aligned} T(t, z) = & -\frac{2}{3} \frac{(\partial_t K_3)^2}{K_3^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_t^2 K_3}{K_3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_z K_3}{K_3}, \\ W_3(t, z) = & \frac{4}{27} \frac{(\partial_t K_3)^3}{K_3^3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial_t K_3 \partial_t^2 K_3}{K_3^2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial_t^3 K_3}{K_3} - \frac{5}{12} \frac{\partial_t K_3 \partial_z K_3}{K_3^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial_t \partial_z K_3}{K_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

The extension equation (3.13) is different from that discussed by Gervais and Matsuo [6]. They consider an equation corresponding to (3.13) with $Q = 0$. The condition that the two-dimensional infinitesimal Diff preserve (3.6) and $\partial_z k^a = \partial_t^2 k^a$ cannot be written in a local way in terms of T and W_3 . It can be shown that (3.13) is the only possible form of the extension equation satisfying this local property.

4 $\mathcal{W}$ -diffeomorphisms and Finite $\mathcal{W}$ -Symmetry

We have shown that the covariant equations (2.1) in the gauge (3.1) are the multi-time $\mathcal{W}_3$ equations (3.6), (3.7), (3.10) and (3.11) for $N = 3$. In this section we will show how the general coordinate transformations that preserve the gauge conditions do generate the classical $\mathcal{W}_3$ transformations in the extended space.

Under general coordinate transformations $t = f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})$, $z = g(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})$ the scalar density X and the Christoffel symbols transform according to:

$$\tilde{X}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}) = J^{-\frac{1}{3}} X(t, z), \quad J \equiv \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{t}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{z}} - \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{z}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{t}}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}) = \left(\frac{\partial t^\mu}{\partial \tilde{t}^\beta} \frac{\partial t^\nu}{\partial \tilde{t}^\gamma} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho(t, z) + \frac{\partial^2 t^\rho}{\partial \tilde{t}^\beta \partial \tilde{t}^\gamma} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^\alpha}{\partial t^\rho}. \quad (4.2)$$

From the requirement that general coordinate transformations keep the gauge conditions (3.1) invariant we find a set of equations to be satisfied by the transformation functions $f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})$ and $g(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})$:

$$\begin{aligned} f' &= \ddot{f} - \frac{2}{3} \dot{f} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} - \frac{2}{3} \dot{f} \dot{g} T(t, z) - \dot{g}^2 V_3(t, z), \\ g' &= \ddot{g} - \frac{2}{3} \dot{g} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} + \dot{f}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \dot{g}^2 T(t, z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Here $\dot{f} = \frac{\partial f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})}{\partial \tilde{t}}$, $f' = \frac{\partial f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})}{\partial \tilde{z}}$ and so on. Equations (3.8) and (3.9) have been used to give the expressions of T and $V_3 \equiv W_3 + \frac{1}{6}\partial_t T$ in terms of Christoffel symbols. Then we define a $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff as a general coordinate transformation $t = f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})$, $z = g(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z})$ satisfying equations (4.3) for given T and V_3 . In contrast to the conformal and superconformal transformations they depend on the connections T and V_3 . In other words, the two-dimensional coordinate $\mathcal{W}$ -transformations cannot be performed independently of the $\mathcal{W}$ -generators of the system.

The finite transformations of X are given by (4.1) with the Jacobian determined from (4.3):

$$J(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}) = \dot{f}\ddot{g} - \ddot{f}\dot{g} + \dot{f}^3 + \dot{f}\dot{g}^2 T(t, z) + \dot{g}^3 V_3(t, z). \quad (4.4)$$

The finite transformations of the extended $\mathcal{W}$ -generators are obtained from those of Christoffel connections,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}) &= \frac{1}{J} \left(\dot{f}g'' - f''\dot{g} - 2(\dot{f}'g' - f'\dot{g}') + 3\dot{f}f'^2 + (\dot{f}g'^2 + 2f'\dot{g}g')T(t, z) + 3\dot{g}g'^2 V_3(t, z) \right), \\ \tilde{V}_3(\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}) &= \frac{1}{J} \left(f'g'' - f''g' + f'^3 + f'g'^2 T(t, z) + g'^3 V_3(t, z) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Notice that f and g are not arbitrary functions but satisfy (4.3) and depend implicitly on T and V_3 . Thus the $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{V}_3$ in (4.5) have non-linear dependence on T and V_3 .

Let us consider a coordinate system on which $T = 0$ and $W_3 = 0$ and consider a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff which can be performed on this coordinate system. It can be constructed using solutions k^a of the extension equations (3.13) by

$$f = k^1 \quad \text{and} \quad g = k^2 - \frac{1}{2}(k^1)^2. \quad (4.6)$$

The Jacobian J of this particular transformation is K_3 given in (3.12) and the transformed generators T and W_3 take the same form as (3.18). They can be rewritten in a more compact form as

$$T = \frac{3}{2}J^{1/3}(\partial_z - \partial_t^2)J^{-1/3}, \quad W_3 = \frac{1}{2}J^{1/3}(\partial_t^3 - 3\partial_t\partial_z)J^{-1/3} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_t T. \quad (4.7)$$

Finite global $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff are defined as those leaving the values $T = 0$ and $W_3 = 0$ invariant. They have the general form

$$t = \frac{a\tilde{t} + b(\tilde{z} + \frac{\tilde{t}^2}{2}) + c}{q\tilde{t} + r(\tilde{z} + \frac{\tilde{t}^2}{2}) + s}, \quad z + \frac{t^2}{2} = \frac{m\tilde{t} + n(\tilde{z} + \frac{\tilde{t}^2}{2}) + p}{q\tilde{t} + r(\tilde{z} + \frac{\tilde{t}^2}{2}) + s}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ m & n & p \\ q & r & s \end{pmatrix} = \text{constant} \in SL(3).$$

The Jacobian of the transformations (4.8) gives vanishing T and W_3 in (4.7). This property suggests to consider the expressions (4.7) as the associated $\mathcal{W}$ -Schwarzians.

Note that (3.14) is a projective realization of an $SL(3)$ transformation law which is generalizing the projective $SL(2)$ (Möbius) transformation in the standard conformal theories. Therefore it is rather natural to consider the two-dimensional space where we describe $\mathcal{W}_3$ as being RP^2 .

For the infinitesimal general coordinate transformations $\delta t = \epsilon^t(t, z)$ and $\delta z = \epsilon^z(t, z)$ the conditions of $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff (4.3) are expressed as:

$$\partial_z \epsilon^z(t, z) = 2 \partial_t \epsilon^t(t, z) + \partial_t^2 \epsilon^z(t, z), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\partial_z \epsilon^t(t, z) = -\frac{2}{3} T(t, z) \partial_t \epsilon^z(t, z) - \frac{2}{3} \partial_t \partial_z \epsilon^z(t, z) + \frac{1}{3} \partial_t^2 \epsilon^t(t, z). \quad (4.10)$$

The infinitesimal $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff transformations of the extended $\mathcal{W}_3$ -generators are

$$\delta T = \epsilon^z \partial_z T + \epsilon^t \partial_t T + T \partial_z \epsilon^z + \partial_z^2 \epsilon^z + 3 V_3 \partial_t \epsilon^z - 2 \partial_t \partial_z \epsilon^t \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\delta V_3 = \epsilon^z \partial_z V_3 + \epsilon^t \partial_t V_3 + T \partial_z \epsilon^t + 2 V_3 \partial_z \epsilon^z - \partial_z^2 \epsilon^t - V_3 \partial_t \epsilon^t. \quad (4.12)$$

To see the relations of these transformations with the standard $\mathcal{W}_3$ transformations in one dimension we express all z -derivatives in terms of t -derivatives using (3.10), (3.11), (4.9) and (4.10). The resulting transformations are:

$$\delta T(t, z) = \alpha \partial_t T + 2 \partial_t \alpha T + 2 \partial_t^3 \alpha + 2 \rho \partial_t W_3 + 3 (\partial_t \rho) W_3, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_3(t, z) = & \alpha \partial_t W_3 + 3 \partial_t \alpha W_3 - \rho \left(\frac{2T \partial_t T}{3} + \frac{\partial_t^3 T}{6} \right) - \partial_t \rho \left(\frac{2T^2}{3} + \frac{3 \partial_t^2 T}{4} \right) \\ & - \frac{5}{4} (\partial_t^2 \rho) \partial_t T - \frac{5}{6} (\partial_t^3 \rho) T - \frac{1}{6} \partial_t^5 \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta X(t, z) = & \alpha \partial_t X - \partial_t \alpha X + \rho (\partial_t^2 X + \frac{2}{3} T X) - \\ & \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t \rho) \partial_t X + \frac{1}{6} (\partial_t^2 \rho) X. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where $\alpha(t, z) \equiv \epsilon^t(t, z) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \epsilon^z(t, z)$ and $\rho(t, z) \equiv \epsilon^z(t, z)$.

The transformations (4.13)-(4.15) are reduced to the classical infinitesimal $\mathcal{W}_3$ transformations by putting $z = 0$ and considering $\alpha(t, 0)$ and $\rho(t, 0)$ as arbitrary transformation functions of t . The parameter α generates t diffeomorphism transformations under which T , W_3 and X transform respectively as weight 2 quasi-primary, weight 3 and weight -1 primary fields. The transformations generated by ρ are the well-known $\mathcal{W}_3$ transformations.

The algebra of two infinitesimal $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff is given by

$$[\delta_{\epsilon_1}, \delta_{\epsilon_2}] = \delta_{\epsilon_3}, \quad \epsilon_3^\mu = \epsilon_2^\nu \partial_\nu \epsilon_1^\mu - \epsilon_1^\nu \partial_\nu \epsilon_2^\mu + \delta_1 \epsilon_2^\mu - \delta_2 \epsilon_1^\mu. \quad (4.16)$$

Here the last two terms of ϵ_3 are contributions coming from the T dependence of ϵ 's through (4.9) and (4.10). They satisfy, for example:

$$\partial_z(\delta\epsilon^t) = -\frac{2}{3}(T\partial_t(\delta\epsilon^z) + (\delta T)\partial_t\epsilon^z) - \frac{2}{3}\partial_t\partial_z(\delta\epsilon^z) + \frac{1}{3}\partial_t^2(\delta\epsilon^t). \quad (4.17)$$

Taking this into account it can be shown that the transformation with parameter ϵ_3 is also a $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff because it satisfies equations (4.9) and (4.10). Therefore we can say that the $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff have a composition law, at least locally, forming a quasi-group.

The existence of a composition law enables us to define the $\mathcal{W}$ -surface in general. Let us consider the manifold $M = RP^2$ with a flat affine connection Γ . We define a $\mathcal{W}$ -neighborhood as an ordinary one supplemented with the conditions $\Gamma_{tt}^z = 1$ and $\Gamma_{tt}^t - 2\Gamma_{tz}^z = 0$. These conditions single out some special parametrizations of each patch of M . General two-dimensional Diff will not preserve these conditions but $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff, as defined above, do preserve them. Hence we can define the $\mathcal{W}$ -surface as a collection of $\mathcal{W}$ -neighborhoods patched together by $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff.

5 Conclusion

We have studied $\mathcal{W}_3$ transformations in the language of Riemannian geometry. The $\mathcal{W}$ -generators are given as particular combinations of the Christoffel symbols in a suitable gauge. We have seen that $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff preserving the gauge-fixing conditions depend in general on T and W_3 . In general the finite transformations of T and W_3 are expressed as non-linear functions of the fields. Explicit expressions for the finite global transformations and the $\mathcal{W}$ -Schwarzians have been given. We have also indicated how to recover the well-known one-dimensional $\mathcal{W}_3$ -transformations from our results.

It is desirable that the gauge-fixing condition (3.1) is interpreted geometrically in order to have a better understanding of $\mathcal{W}$ -surfaces. We would like to emphasize that the definition of $\mathcal{W}$ -Diff (4.3) given above may be valid for more general cases and we believe that it will be useful, for example, in the study of the classical limit of the $\mathcal{W}_3$ string theory.

Acknowledgements: K.K. would like to thank Dept. of ECM (Barcelona) for their hospitality during his stay. J.R. thanks the Spanish Ministry of Education and the British Council for financial support. J.H. acknowledges a fellowship from Generalitat de Catalunya. This work has been partially supported by CYCYT under contract number AEN93-0695 and by Commission of the European Communities contract CHRX-CT93-0362(04).

References

- [1] A.B. ZAMOLODCHIKOV, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **63** (1985) 1205. For reviews see;
P. BOUWKNEGT AND K. SCHOUTENS, *Phys. Rep.* **223** (1993) 186 and
L. FEHÉR, L. O’RAIFEARTAIGH, P. RUELLE, I. TSUTSUI AND A. WIPF, *Phys. Rep.* **222** (1992) 1.
- [2] V. DRINFEL’D AND V. SOKOLOV, *J. Sov. Math.* **30** (1984) 1975;
J. BALOG, L. FEHÉR, L. O’RAIFEARTAIGH, P. FORGÁCS AND A. WIPF, *Ann. Phys.* **203** (1990) 76;
F.A. BAIS, T. TJIN AND P. VAN DRIEL, *Nucl. Phys.* **B357** (1991) 632;
J.M. FIGUEROA-O’FARRILL, *Nucl. Phys.* **B343** (1990) 450.
- [3] A.M. POLYAKOV, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A5** (1990) 833;
A. BILAL, V.V. FOCK AND I.I. KOGAN, *Nucl. Phys.* **B359** (1991) 635;
A. DAS, W.-J. HUANG AND S. ROY, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992) 3447;
J. DE BOER AND J. GOEREE, *Nucl. Phys.* **B401** (1993) 369.
- [4] L.A. DICKEY, *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems* (1991) World Scientific.
- [5] A.O. RADUL, *Sov. Phys. JETP Lett.* **50** (1989) 371; *Funct. Anal. and Its Appl.* **25** (1991) 25.
- [6] Y. MATSUO, *Phys. Lett.* **277B** (1992) 95;
J.-L. GERVAIS AND Y. MATSUO, *Phys. Lett.* **274B** (1992) 309, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **152** (1993) 317.
- [7] D. FRIEDAN, “Notes on String Theory and Two-Dimensional Conformal Field Theory” in *Proc. Santa Barbara Workshop on Unified String Theories*, ed. M.B. Green and D. Gross (1986) World Scientific.
- [8] J. GOMIS, J. HERRERO, K. KAMIMURA AND J. ROCA, “Geometrical Approach to W-Symmetries in a Multi-Time Formalism”, Contribution paper of ICHEP94 (Glasgow 1994). Reference number: gls0894.
- [9] A. MARSHAKOV AND A. MOROZOV, *Nucl. Phys.* **B339** (1990) 79;
A. BILAL AND J.-L. GERVAIS, *Nucl. Phys.* **B314** (1989) 646.